

ARON-1 Study Protocol

Version 5.0, 28st October 2023

Principal Investigator

Matteo Santoni (MD, PhD), Medical Oncology, Macerata Hospital, Italy

Steering Commitee

Matteo Santoni (MD, PhD), Francesco Massari (MD), Gaetano Aurilio (MD, PhD), Enrique Grande (MD), Fernando Sabino Marques Monteiro (MD), Marc R Matrana (MD), Ravindran Kanesvaran (FP), Camillo Porta (FP)

Study Coordinators and Data Management

Giulia Sorgentoni (PhD), Ilaria Catalini (PhD)

CONFIDENTIAL

This document contains confidential information. Except as may be otherwise agreed to in writing, by accepting or reviewing these materials, you agree to hold such information in confidence and not to disclose it to others (except where required by applicable law), nor to use it for unauthorized purposes. In

the event of actual or suspected breach of this obligation Sponsor should be promptly notified.



Summary

List of Abbreviations	4
1. Background and Rational	5
2. Study Design and Endpoints	6
2.1 Primary End-Point	6
2.2 Secondary End-Points	7
2.3 Evaluation of the Endpoints	7
3. Study Population	8
3.1 Inclusion Criteria	8
3.2 Exclusion Criteria	9
3.3 Estimated Enrollment	9
4. Statistical and Data Collection	9
5. End-dates for data collection and publication	10
Supplementary Studies: ARON-1α and ARON-1β	11
6.1 ARON-1 a	11
6.1.1 Materials and Methods	12
6.1.2 End-dates for sending tumor samples and data publication	13
6.2 ARON 1β	13
6.2.1 Materials and Methods	13
6.2.2 End-dates for sending tumor samples and data publication	14
7. Data confidentiality and management	15



8. E	thical Consideration	15
9.	Fundings and Fees	16
10.	References	16

The AR N PROJECT Global experiences, perspectives and access to cure in patients with genitourinary tumors

List of Abbreviations

- BMI = Body Mass Index
- CI = Confidence Interval
- CR = Complete Response
- FDA = Food and Drug Administration
- GCP = Good Clinical Practice
- IMDC = International mRCC Database Consortium
- mRCC = metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma
- ORR = Overall Response Rate
- OS = Overall Survival
- PD = Progressive Disease
- PFS = Progression-Free Survival
- PR = Partial Response
- RCC = Renal Cell Carcinoma
- SD = Stable Disease

The AR N PROJECT Global experiences, perspectives and access to cure in patients with genitourinary tumors

1. Background and Rational

The advent of immunotherapy has revolutionized the treatment landscape of several hematological and solid tumors [1,2], and the number of patients eligible for immune-based cancer therapies continues to rise as these treatments are currently part of the first- or later-line standards for many malignancies [3–5]. Over the past decade, an impressive number of trials have been conducted on the role of immune checkpoint inhibitors, with the results of these studies paving the way towards the Immunotherapy Era in cancer care [6,7].

The first immune checkpoint inhibitor approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) was ipilimumab, approved in 2011 for the treatment of advanced melanoma, following the results of the pivotal phase III trial conducted by Hodi and colleagues [8,9]. More than ten years after the publication of this study, there is a plethora of ongoing trials aiming to evaluate the efficacy and safety of immune checkpoint inhibitors, as a monotherapy or in combination with other anticancer agents [10,11]; thus, the number of cancer patients receiving immunotherapy is expected to further increase in the near future, especially considering that a wide range of combination therapies is being explored, with the aim of producing a synergistic effect [12,13].

The treatment scenario of metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma (mRCC) has recently undergone a revolution following the results of landmark phase III clinical trials on the combination of immune checkpoint and tyrosine kinase



inhibitors [14,15], such as pembrolizumab plus axitinib, nivolumab plus cabozantinib, pembrolizumab plus lenvatinib [16,17], as well as dual checkpoint bloackade with nivolumab plus ipilimumab in intermediate-poor-risk patients [18,19]. These treatments currently represent novel standards of treatment, as is recommended by the most up-to-date international guidelines [20,21].

The ARON-1 Study has been designed to share and analyze the global real world experiences with the use of immuno-combination therapies for advanced RCC, aimed to analyze the efficacy of this therapeutic approach in specific subpopulations and to investigate for the presence of prognostic factors in this context.

2. Study Design and Endpoints

The ARON-1 Study is designed as an International Multicentric Retrospective Study to collect global experiences with the use of immuno-combinations in patients with metastatic RCC.

2.1 Primary End-Point

 To assess and compare real-world data on first-line immuno-combinations in patients with metastatic RCC in terms of Progression-Free Survival (PFS), Overall Survival (OS) and Overall Response Rate (ORR).

The AR N PROJECT Global experiences, perspectives and access to cure in patients with genitourinary tumors

2.2 Secondary End-Points

- To apply artificial intelligence technology to the study of real-world data for cluster and Artificial Neural Network analyses
- To explore the role of immuno-combination in the elderly populaton
- To compare the efficacy of immuno-combinations in patients with different metastatic sites (i.e. bone and brain metastases)
- To assess the prognostic role of smoking attitude and obesity in RCC patients treated with different immuno-combinations
- To investigate real-world data on the use of immuno-combinations in patients with good risk RCC
- To observe real-world data on the role of nephrectomy in RCC patients treated with different immuno-combinations
- To compare different therapeutic sequences in RCC patients
- To explore the efficacy of different immuno-combinations in patients with non-clear RCC

2.3 Evaluation of the Endpoints

- Overall Survival (OS) was defined as the time from the start of immunocombination to death from any cause
- Progression-Free Survival (PFS) was defined as the time from the start of



treatment to progression or death from any cause. Patients without a tumor progression to following line of treatment or death or lost at follow-up at the time of analysis were censored at their last follow-up date

- Tumor radiological assessment was led according to the RECIST 1.1 criteria
 [22] and data on tumor response (complete (CR) or partial responses (PR), stable (SD) or progressive disease (PD)) were collected and analyzed
- The Overall Response Rate (ORR) was defined as the rate of CR + PR according to the RECIST 1.1 criteria [22]

3. Study Population

The ARON-1 study retrospectively analyze patients treated with first-line immunocombinations for metastatic RCC according the standard clinical practice in the different Countries involved in this project.

3.1 Inclusion Criteria

- Patients aged >18y
- Cytological or Histologically confirmed diagnosis of clear cell or non-clear cell RCC
- Histologically or radiologically confirmed diagnosis of metastatic disease
- First-line treatment with nivolumab plus ipilimumab or nivolumab plus cabozantinib or pembrolizumab plus axitinib or pembrolizumab plus



lenvatinib or avelumab plus axitinib or atezolizumab plus bevacizumab

3.2 Exclusion Criteria

- Patients without histologically confirmed diagnosis of RCC
- Patients without histologically or radiologically confirmed metastatic disease
- Patients treated with immuno-combinations not included in the list reported in the Inclusion Criteria Section

3.3 Estimated Enrollment

We planned to retrospectively analyze a minimum of 1000 patients with advanced RCC treated in Internationally recognized Oncological Centers for genitourinary tumors.

4. Statistical Analysis and Data Collection

PFS and OS were estimated by Kaplan-Meier method with Rothman's 95% confidence intervals (CI) and compared by using the log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed by using Cox proportional hazards models. The chi-square test was used to compare categorical end-points. Significance levels were set at a 0.05 value and all *p* values were two-sided. The statistical analysis was led by using MedCalc version 19.6.4 (MedCalc Software, Broekstraat



52, 9030 Mariakerke, Belgium).

Data will be retrospectively extracted from paper and electronic charts. For each patient, the following data will be collected by the database of each Institution and analyzed: histology, nephrectomy status, International mRCC Database Consortium (IMDC) criteria, sites of metastases, Body Mass Index (BMI), laboratory tests and treatments. Patients without sufficient data on tumor assessment and response to therapy will be excluded from this study. Data will be collected in Excel datasets. A code will be used to identify each patient included in this analysis.

5. End-dates for data collection and publication

Due to the large number of Centers involved from different Countries, we have scheduled a series of deadlines in order to complete step-by-step the planned analyses and prepare the manuscripts for publication:

- The first deadline is July 31th Analysis of data collection and preparation of the first manuscripts within September 30th, 2023
- The second deadline is September 30th, 2023 Second analysis of data collection and preparation of four of our planned manuscripts within 1st November, 2023

For each manuscript, one author's name for each Istitution involved in this project will be considered. We will consider, according to Journal policies, two names for



each Oncological Center that has included at least 50 patients in the dataset or which has majorly participated to the different phases of the manuscripts.

Before the submission, a draft of each manuscript will be sent to all the authors from the Institutions involved in order to revise potential errors and propose additional comments and suggestions.

Potential publication fees with be substained by the Center of the Principal Investigator (Macerata Hospital).

6. Supplemetary studies: ARON-1 α and ARON-1 β

Each Institution involved in the ARON Project could decide to participate also to one or both of the ARON-1 α and ARON-1 β Supplementary Studies.

6.1 ARON-1α

The ARON-1a Supplementary Study has been designed to investigate for the presence of genomic signatures from tumor samples of patients treated with first-line immuno-combinations for advanced RCC. In particular, we aimed to:

- Correlate between genomic signatures and the outcome in terms of OS, PFS and ORR of mRCC patients treated with first-line immuno-combinations
- Compare the results obtained by our assay among patients treated with different immuno-combinations



 Assess for the presence of intratumor heterogeinity between samples from the primary tumors and metastases from the same patients and investigate for its association with the clinical outcomes

6.1.1 Materials and Methods

Twenty unstained slides (5um-thick, unbaked) or FFPE are required for each primary tumor. Tissue surface area should be up to 15 x 15 mm. Thicker sections may result in lower RNA yields. Total RNA RCC fixed paraffin-embedded slice tissues will be extracted by using "RNeasy® FFPE" kit (Qiagen). Then, mRNA will be converted into cDNA products bythe "RT²PreAMP cDNA Synthesis" (QIAGEN). Ten microliters of the resulting cDNA products will be used as template for TaqMan Array. The TaqMan® Array 96-well Plate, containing specific assays for RCC-associated genes and 3 assays to candidate endogenous control genes, will be designed, customized and purchased (Thermo Fisher, Grand Island, NY, USA) and used to evaluate the effects of the treatments in modulating RCC-related genes.

The list of analyzed genes includes: AKT1, ARNT, BRAF, CA9, CAV1, CREBBP, CX3CL1, CX3CR1, EGFR, EGLN3, ETS1, FH, FHIT, FLCN, HGF, KANK1, KDR, KIT, KRAS, KRT7, MAPK1, MET, MME, MOK, MUC1, NRAS, PAK1, PBRM1, PDGFB, PDGFRB, PI3KCA, PI3KR1, RAC1, RAF1, RAP1B, SLC2A1, TCEB1, VEGFA, VEGFR1, VEGFR2, VHL, VIM and TRP genes.

Measurement of housekeeping genes expression (GAPDH; GUSB) on the samples



will be used to normalize mRNA content. Gene Array will be performed in QRT-PCR by using the Multicolor Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad, Milano, Italy).

6.1.2 End-dates for sending tumor samples and data publication

Similarly to the collection of clinical data, we have scheduled two deadlines in order to complete step-by-step the planned analyses and prepare the manuscripts for publication:

- The first deadline for sending tumor samples is December 31th 2023 First analysis of data collection and preparation of the first manuscripts within 28th February 2024
- The second deadline for sending tumor samples is 28th February 2024 -Second analysis of data collection and preparation of the other planned manuscripts within 1st April 2024

6.2 ARON-1β

6.3 The ARON-1 β Supplementary Study has been designed to charaterize the immune cell populations and assess their relationship with the clinical outcome of mRCC patients treated with first-line immuno-combinations.

6.2.1 Materials and Methods

Twenty unstained slides (5um-thick, unbaked) or FFPE are required for each primary



tumor. An anonymized copy of the original pathology report (identified by the same code reported in the clinical dataset) must be provided, and the tissue collection date must be recorded so the sample age can be derived. Sections should be obtained from the FFPE block of the tumor sample with the highest grade and with at least 70% tumor cells.

Up to 5 slides will be used for DNA/RNA extraction. Exploratory analysis of immune cell populations includes immunostaining for T-cells CD3, CD4, CD8, B lymphocytes CD20, macrophages CD68 and CD163, dendritic cells CD1a, plasma cells CD38, cytotoxic T and NK cells CD3, CD8, CD16, CD56 and granzyme. We also intend to investigate the expression of PD-1/PD-L1 and other immuno-checkpoints on tumor and immune cells.

7. Data confidentiality and management

Information about study subjects will be kept confidential and managed under the applicable laws and regulations. The data collection system for this study uses built-in security features to encrypt all data for transmission in both directions, preventing unauthorized access to confidential participant information. Access to the system will be controlled by a sequence of individually assigned user identification codes and passwords, made available only to authorized personnel who have completed prerequisite training. The investigator must ensure anonymity of the patients; patients must not be identified by names in any documents.



The Principal Investigator is responsible for assuring that the data entered are complete and accurate. Essential documents (written and electronic) should be retained for a period of not less than five (5) years from the completion of the Study.

8. Ethical Consideration

The ARON-1 Study will be conducted according to Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and has been designed with the ethical principles laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki on human experimentation. The Study will be approved by the Ethical Commitees of the participating Institutions according to local guidelines.

9. Fundings and Fees

No fees are required from or provided to the Centers that participate to the ARON-1 project. The costs for sending tissue samples for the Centers participating also to one or both of the Supplementary studies ARON-1 α and ARON-1 β will be sustained by the PI, as well as the potential publication fees.

10. References

Reck, M.; Rodríguez–Abreu, D.; Robinson, A.G.; Hui, R.; Cso szi, T.; Fülöp,
 A.; Gottfried, M.; Peled, N.; Tafreshi, A.; Cuffe, S.; et al. Updated Analysis
 of KEYNOTE-024: Pembrolizumab Versus Platinum-Based Chemotherapy



for Advanced Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer With PD-L1 Tumor Proportion Score of 50% or Greater. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019, 37, 537–546.

- [2] Powles, T.; Walker, J.; Williams, J.A.; Bellmunt, J. The evolving role of PD-L1 testing in patients with metastatic urothelial carcinoma. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2020, 82, 101925.
- [3] Massari, F.; Rizzo, A.; Mollica, V.; Rosellini, M.; Marchetti, A.; Ardizzoni, A.; Santoni, M. Immune-based combinations for the treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma: A meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. *Eur. J. Cancer* 2021, *154*, 120–127.
- [4] Motzer, R.J.; Tannir, N.M.; McDermott, D.F.; Frontera, O.A.; Melichar, B.; Choueiri, T.K.; Plimack, E.R.; Barthélémy, P.; Porta, C.; George, S.; et al. Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab versus Sunitinib in Advanced Renal-Cell Carcinoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 2018, 378, 1277–1290.
- [5] Lemery, S.; Keegan, P.; Pazdur, R. First FDA Approval Agnostic of Cancer Site—When a Biomarker Defines the Indication. N. Engl. J. Med. 2017, 377, 1409–1412.
- [6] De Miguel, M.; Calvo, E. Clinical Challenges of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. Cancer Cell 2020, 38, 326–333.
- [7] Yang, Y. Cancer immunotherapy: Harnessing the immune system to battle cancer. J. Clin. Investig. 2015, 125, 3335–3337.
- [8] Hodi, F.S.; O'Day, S.J.; McDermott, D.F.; Weber, R.W.; Sosman, J.A.;



Haanen, J.B.; Gonzalez, R.; Robert, C.; Schadendorf, D.; Hassel, J.C.; et al. Improved Survival with Ipilimumab in Patients with Metastatic Melanoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2010, 363, 711–723.

- [9] Hwu, P. Treating cancer by targeting the immune system. N. Engl. J. Med. 2010, 363, 779–781.
- [10] Hunter, K.A.; Socinski, M.A.; Villaruz, L.C. PD-L1 Testing in Guiding Patient Selection for PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitor Therapy in Lung Cancer. Mol. Diagn. Ther. 2018, 22, 1–10.
- [11] Yarchoan, M.; Hopkins, A.; Jaffee, E.M. Tumor Mutational Burden and Response Rate to PD-1 Inhibition. N. Engl. J. Med. 2017, 377, 2500–2501.
- [12] Bergerot, P.; Lamb, P.; Wang, E.; Pal, S.K. Cabozantinib in Combination with Immunotherapy for Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma and Urothelial Carcinoma: Rationale and Clinical Evidence. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2019, 18, 2185–2193.
- [13] Cheng, A.-L.; Hsu, C.; Chan, S.L.; Choo, S.-P.; Kudo, M. Challenges of combination therapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors for hepatocellular carcinoma. J. Hepatol. 2020, 72, 307–319.
- [14] Motzer, R.J.; Rini, B.I.; McDermott, D.F.; Frontera, O.A.; Hammers, H.J.; Carducci, M.A.; Salman, P.; Escudier, B.; Beuselinck, B.; Amin, A.; et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab versus sunitinib in first-line treatment for advanced renal cell carcinoma: Extended follow-up of efficacy and safety results from a



randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2019, 20, 1370–1385.

- [15] Rini, B.I.; Plimack, E.R.; Stus, V.; Gafanov, R.; Hawkins, R.; Nosov, D.; Pouliot,
 F.; Alekseev, B.; Soulières, D.; Melichar, B.; et al. Pembrolizumab plus
 Axitinib versus Sunitinib for Advanced Renal-Cell Carcinoma. N. Engl. J.
 Med. 2019, 380, 1116–1127.
- [16] Massari, F.; Mollica, V.; Rizzo, A.; Cosmai, L.; Rizzo, M.; Porta, C. Safety evaluation of immune-based combinations in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Expert Opin. Drug Saf. 2020, 19, 1329–1338.
- [17] Sheng, I.Y.; Rini, B.I. Immunotherapy for renal cell carcinoma. Expert Opin.Biol. Ther. 2019, 19, 897–905.
- [18] Dizman, N.; Arslan, Z.E.; Feng, M.; Pal, S.K. Sequencing Therapies for Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma. Urol. Clin. N. Am. 2020, 47, 305–318.
- [19] Gao, X.; McDermott, D.F. Ipilimumab in combination with nivolumab for the treatment of renal cell carcinoma. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* 2018, 18, 947–957.
- [20] Powles, T.; ESMO Guidelines Committee. Electronic address: Clinicalguidelines@esmo.org. Recent eUpdate to the ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines on renal cell carcinoma on cabozantinib and nivolumab for first-line clear cell renal cancer: Renal cell carcinoma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann. Oncol. 2021, 32, 422–423.



- [21] Thana, M.; Wood, L.A. What Do International Guidelines Say About Firstline Therapy for Clear-cell Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma? Eur. Urol. Focus 2020, 6, 48–52.
- [22] Schwartz LH, Litière S, de Vries E, et al. RECIST 1.1-Update and clarification: From the RECIST committee. Eur J Cancer 2016;62:132-7.